

**A STUDY AND ANALYSIS OF EXISTENCE AND INVOLVEMENTS OF COMPUTER ASSISTED E-LEARNING TECHNIQUES FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF MEDICAL EDUCATION AND KNOWLEDGE DISSEMINATION**

**Mr Sudhir Kumar Tyagi\***

**Abstract:**

This paper provide an introduction to e-learning and its role in medical education by outlining key terms, the components of e-learning, the evidence for its effectiveness, evaluation strategies for e-learning and development of e-learning. This study is a closer look at how it is possible to effectively use e-learning in medical education and what steps need to be made in that direction.- learning is the use of Internet technologies to enhance knowledge and performance. E-learning technologies offer learners control over content, learning sequence, pace of learning, time, and often media, allowing them to tailor their experiences to meet their personal learning targets and objectives. In diverse medical education contexts, e-learning appears to be at least as effective as traditional instructor-led methods such as lectures. Students do not see e-learning as replacing traditional instructor-led training but as a complement to it, forming part of a blended-learning strategy. A developing infrastructure to support e-learning within medical education which includes repositories, or digital libraries, to manage access to e-learning materials, consensus on technical standardization, and methods for peer review of these resources. E-learning provides numerous research opportunities for faculty, along with continuing challenges for documenting scholarship. Innovations in e-learning technologies point toward a revolution in education,

\* Assistant Professor, Department of Library & Information Sciences, Swami Vivekananda Subharti University, Meerut, UP.

allowing learning to be individualized adaptive learning, enhancing learners' interactions with others collaborative learning and transforming the role of the educators. The integration of e-learning into medical education can diverting the shift toward applying adult learning theory, where educators will no longer serve mainly as the distributors of content, but will become more involved as facilitators of learning and assessors of competency and success.

## Introduction

In modern times medical educators are facing different challenges than their predecessors in teaching tomorrow's physicians. In the past few decades, changes in health care delivery and advances in medicine have increased demands on academic faculty, resulting in less time for teaching than has previously been the case. Finding time to teach new fields such as genomics, palliative care, geriatrics, and complementary medicine is difficult when medical school curricula are already challenged to cover conventional materials.<sup>1</sup> Changes in sites of health care delivery, from acute care institutions to community-based settings for chronic care, have required adaptations in educational venues.<sup>2</sup> Traditional instructor-centered teaching is yielding to a learner-centered model that puts learners in control of their own learning. A recent shift toward competency-based curricula emphasizes the learning outcome, not the process, of education.<sup>3</sup> E-learning refers to the use of Internet technologies to deliver a broad array of solutions that enhance knowledge and performance. Learning delivery is the most often cited advantage of e-learning and includes increased accessibility to information, ease in updating content, personalized instruction, ease of distribution, standardization of content, and accountability.<sup>4</sup> Content delivery may be either synchronous or asynchronous.<sup>5</sup> E-learning can be used by medical educators to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of educational interventions in the face of the social, scientific, and pedagogical challenges noted above. It has gained popularity in the past decade; however, its use is highly variable among medical schools and appears to be more common in basic science courses than in clinical clerkships.<sup>6</sup>

E-learning is called Web-based learning, online learning, distributed learning, computer-assisted instruction, or Internet-based learning. There have been two common e-learning modes first is distance learning and second is computer-assisted instruction. Distance learning uses information

technologies to deliver instruction to learners who are at remote locations from a central site. Computer-assisted instruction (also called computer-based learning and computer-based training) uses computers to aid in the delivery of stand-alone multimedia packages for learning and teaching. Accessibility means the user's ability to find what is needed, when it is needed. Improved access to educational materials is crucial, as learning is often an unplanned experience.<sup>7</sup>

A concept closely related to e-learning but preceding the birth of the Internet is multimedia learning. Multimedia uses two or more media, such as text, graphics, animation, audio, or video, to produce engaging content that learners access via computer. Blended learning, a fairly new term in education but a concept familiar to most educators, is an approach that combines e-learning technology with traditional instructor-led training, where, for example, a lecture or demonstration is supplemented by an online tutorial.<sup>8</sup> Updating electronic content is easier than updating printed material<sup>9</sup>: e-learning technologies allow educators and teachers to revise their content simply and speedily. Learners have control over the content, learning sequence, pace of learning, time, and, often, media, which allows them to tailor their experience to meet personal learning objectives.<sup>10</sup>

Internet technologies permit the widespread distribution of digital content to many users simultaneously anytime and everywhere. An additional strength of e-learning is that it standardizes course content and delivery; unlike, for instance, a lecture given to separate sections of the same course. Automated tracking and reporting of learners' activities lessen faculty administrative burden. Moreover, e-learning can be designed to include outcomes assessment to determine whether learning has occurred. Benefits in learning enhancement are a less well recognized but potentially more revolutionary aspect of e-learning than are those related to learning delivery. E-learning technologies offer educators a new paradigm based on adult learning theory, which states that adults learn by relating new learning to past experiences, by linking learning to specific needs, and by practically applying learning, resulting in more effective and efficient learning experiences. Yet three aspects of e-learning have been consistently explored: product utility, cost-effectiveness, and learner satisfaction. Several studies from the pre-Internet era, including two meta-analyses that compared the utility of computer-based instruction to traditional teaching methods. The studies used a variety of designs in both training and academic environments, with inconsistent results for many outcomes. Yet learners' knowledge, measured by

pre-post test scores, was shown to improve. Moreover, learners using computer-based instruction learned more efficiently and demonstrated better retention. A substantial body of evidence in the nonmedical literature has shown, on the basis of sophisticated cost analysis, that e-learning can result in significant cost-savings, sometimes as much as 50%, compared with traditional instructor-led learning. Savings are related to reduced instructor training time, travel costs, and labour costs, reduced institutional infrastructure, and the possibility of expanding programs with new educational technologies.<sup>11</sup>

Learning enhancement permits greater learner interactivity and promotes learners' efficiency, motivation, cognitive effectiveness, and flexibility of learning style. Learning is a deeply personal experience: we learn because we want to learn. Interactive learning shifts the focus from a passive, teacher-centered model to one that is active and learner-centered, offering a stronger learning stimulus. Interactivity helps to maintain the learner's interest and provides a means for individual practice and reinforcement. Evidence suggests that e-learning is more efficient because learners gain knowledge, skills, and attitudes faster than through traditional instructor-led methods. This efficiency is likely to translate into improved motivation and performance. E-learners have demonstrated increased retention rates and better utilization of content, resulting in better achievement of knowledge, skills, and attitudes. Multimedia e-learning offers learners the flexibility to select from a large menu of media options to accommodate their diverse learning styles.<sup>12</sup>



### Elements of E-Learning:

E-learning material involves several components: once content is developed, it must be managed, delivered, and standardized. Content comprises all instructional material, which can range in complexity from discrete items to larger instructional modules. A digital learning object is defined as any grouping of digital materials structured in a meaningful way and tied to an educational objective.<sup>13</sup> Learning objects represent discrete, self-contained units of instructional material assembled and reassembled around specific learning objectives, which are used to build larger educational materials such as lessons, modules, or complete courses to meet the requirements of a specified curriculum.<sup>14</sup> For example tutorials, case-based learning, hypermedia, simulations, and game-based learning modules. Content creators use instructional design and pedagogical principles to produce learning objects and instructional materials.

Content management includes all the administrative functions (e.g., storing, indexing, cataloguing) needed to make e-learning content available to learners. Examples include portals, repositories, digital libraries, learning-management systems, search engines, and portfolios. A learning-management system, for example, is Internet-based software that facilitates the delivery and tracking of e-learning across an institution.<sup>15</sup> A learning-management system can serve lot of functions beyond delivering e-learning content. It can simplify and automate administrative and supervisory tasks, track learners' achievement of competencies, and operate as a repository for instructional resources twenty-four hours a day.<sup>16</sup> In addition to establishing, managing, and delivering content, a fourth component is part of the e-learning equation. It is becoming increasingly clear that standards are needed for the creation of new e-learning material. these standards promote compatibility and usability of products across many computer systems, facilitating the widespread use of e-learning materials. Several organizations have been engaged in creating broad e-learning standards. Although not specifically designed for medical education, these standards offer medical educators important advantages. The most well-known set of standards is the Advanced Distributed Learning: Sharable Content Object Reference Model (SCORM)<sup>17</sup>.

E-learning material built to SCORM specifications will interact with a conformant learning-management system, allowing for the prescription of the learning experience and tracking of learner performance. In medical education, MedBiquitous, a consortium of academic,



government, and health care industry organizations, is working to develop SCORM-compliant specifications and standards for medical education.<sup>18</sup>

### **The Existence of E-learning and its Effectiveness:**

The effectiveness of e-learning has been demonstrated primarily by studies of higher education, government and military environments. Most of these studies compared e-learning with traditional instructor-led approaches.<sup>19</sup> However, these studies have limitations, especially because of the variability in their scientific design.<sup>20</sup> Often they have failed to define the content quality, technological characteristics, and type of specific e-learning intervention being analyzed. In addition, most have included several different instructional and delivery methodologies, which complicate the analysis.<sup>21</sup> Recent reviews of the e-learning (specifically Web-based learning) literature in diverse medical education contexts reveal similar findings. About one-third of the studies evaluated knowledge gains, most using multiple-choice written tests, although standardized patients were used in one study. In terms of learners' achievements in knowledge, Web-based learning was equivalent to traditional methods. Of the two studies evaluating learning efficiency, only one demonstrated evidence for more efficient learning via Web-based instruction. Only one study in the medical literature evaluated the cost-effectiveness of e-learning as compared with text-based learning. Studies in both the medical and nonmedical literature have consistently demonstrated that students are very satisfied with e-learning. Learners' satisfaction rates increase with e-learning compared to traditional learning, along with perceived ease of use and access, navigation, interactivity, and user-friendly interface design. Interestingly, students do not see e-learning as replacing traditional instructor-led training but as a complement to it, forming part of a blended-learning strategy.<sup>22</sup>

### **Evaluation of Strategies and tactics for E-Learning Processes:**

Adopting e-learning and its technology requires large investments in faculty, time, money, and space that need to be justified to administrators and leadership. As with other educational materials, there are two major approaches to the evaluation of e-learning: process and outcomes. Process evaluation examines an e-learning program's strengths and weaknesses and how its results are produced, often providing information that will allow others to replicate it. Peer review

is one type of process evaluation-learning requires the consideration of additional dimensions. For example, is it easy to navigate through the online material? Is the appearance conducive to education? Are multimedia elements used effectively? Is the interactivity appropriate for the level of the learner? Are special computer skills, hardware, or software required? These and other questions place new demands on peer reviewers engaged in process evaluation of e-learning. In fact, the AAMC, at the request of the Council of Deans, has begun a peer-review process of e-learning that recognizes these materials as evidence of scholarly activity for faculty promotion and recognition.<sup>28</sup>

Outcome evaluation of changes in learners' knowledge, skills, or attitudes allows e-learning developers to gauge program effectiveness. The evaluation framework outlined by Kirkpatrick<sup>29</sup> in the 1950s and later adapted to health care education<sup>30</sup> can be used to evaluate e-learning interventions.<sup>31</sup> The Kirkpatrick model defines four levels of evaluation based on outcome: satisfaction, learning, change in learner behavior, and organizational change/patient outcome.

### **Resources of E-Learning :**

In medical education, repositories or digital libraries have been established to manage access to e-learning materials. Although few at this time, such repositories offer a vision of expanded access to a large number of high-quality, peer-reviewed, sharable e-learning materials. Examples include the Association of American Medical Colleges' MedEdPortal, a repository for curriculum and assessment materials organized around core competencies in medical education and populated with up-to-date, peer-reviewed teaching and assessment materials.<sup>23</sup> The End of Life/Palliative Education Resource Center is a free-access repository of digital content for health profession educators involved in palliative care education.<sup>24</sup> The Health Education Assets Library provides high-quality digital materials for health sciences educators and promotes the preservation and exchange of useful educational assets such as individual graphic, video, or audio elements, while respecting ownership and privacy. HEAL has begun a peer-review process for all e-learning materials submitted to the library.<sup>25</sup> The Multimedia Educational Resource for Learning and Online Teaching is designed primarily for faculty and students of higher education. The service collects links to online learning materials, along with annotations such as users' reviews and assignments. MERLOT contains a growing science and technology section that

includes health care education e-learning materials.<sup>26</sup> The International Virtual Medical School is an international organization whose mission is to set new standards for e-learning in medical education through a partnership of medical schools and institutions, using a blended-learning approach.<sup>27</sup>

### **Integration of E-Learning in Medical Education and knowledge:**

The integration of e-learning into existing medical curricula should be the result of a well-devised plan that begins with a needs assessment and concludes with the decision to use e-learning.<sup>32</sup> In undergraduate medical education, e-learning offers learners materials for self-instruction and collaborative learning. In graduate medical education, the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education has established six core competencies toward which e-learning can be applied. E-learning materials suited for each of these competencies can be integrated into the education of residents and fellows, replacing lectures and other synchronous methods of instruction. Asynchronous e-learning can be effectively used during demanding clinical care rotations, especially when duty hours are limited yet curriculum requirements remain high. In continuing medical education, physicians with daily clinical obligations can attend medical e-conferences using e-learning. The complexity and breadth of medical education content, together with the scarcity of experts and resources in e-learning, make the creation of centers of excellence in e-learning a reasonable proposition. The Federal Interagency Working Group on Information Technology Research and Development has recommended the establishment of centers to explore new delivery modes for educating medical practitioners and providing continuing medical education; e-learning clearly fits that description.<sup>33</sup> The Internet2 is a U.S.-based, collaborative, university-led project started in 1996 to develop additional infrastructure for the Internet backbone capable of super high bandwidth. The Internet2's vision of extremely fast speed, complex real-time multimedia capabilities, and quality of service would provide educators enormous potential to enhance the learning experience.<sup>34</sup> Larger bandwidth offers the promise of sophisticated immersive simulations and the use of full-motion video in real time, in both asynchronous and synchronous modes of instruction, delivered to any desktop computer.<sup>35</sup> Many medical schools and health care organizations are already producing high-fidelity e-learning



materials, such as virtual patient simulations, that could soon be within the reach of any educator and learner.<sup>-36</sup>

### **Development and Future of the E-learning**

Developments in e-learning and technologies are creating the groundwork for a revolution in education, allowing learning to be individualized adaptive learning, enhancing learners' interactions with each other (collaborative learning), and transforming the role of the teacher. Adaptive learning uses technology to assess learners' knowledge, skills, and attitudes at the beginning of online training in order to deliver educational materials at the level most appropriate for each learner. In the online environment of e-learning, adaptive learning is possible through identification of the learner, personalization of content, and individualization of tracking, monitoring, support, and assessment. Adaptive learning is the ultimate learner-centered experience because it individualizes a unique learning path for each learner that is likely to target his or her specific learning needs and aptitudes. The potential for collaborative learning to break the isolation of learners is realized in e-learning technologies. Advances in synchronous distance education and collaborative technologies like Weblogs, message boards, chats, e-mail, and teleconferencing are making such collaborative learning more readily available. Quantitative and qualitative studies of collaborative learning in medicine have shown higher levels of learner satisfaction, improvements in knowledge, self-awareness, understanding of concepts, achievement of course objectives, and changes.<sup>37,38</sup>

### **Conclusion:**

This article presented a general overview of the current trend in e-learning with a focus on the medical education, main findings from the recent systematic reviews of studies involving e-learning that show many gaps in the way the effectiveness of e-learning is being examined, and a review of emerging technologies that have potentials for meeting new requirements for competency-based training. The article also provided examples of emerging consortiums of institutions that have created common e-learning experiences for their medical trainees. As e-learning continues to be widely integrated in the training of future physicians, it is critical that our

efforts in conducting evaluative studies should target specific e-learning features that can best mediate intended learning goals and objectives. Without an evolving knowledge base on how best to design e-learning applications, the gap between what we know about technology use and how we deploy e-learning in training settings will continue to widen.

E-learning refers to the use of Internet technologies to deliver a broad array of learning modes that enhance learners' knowledge and performance. There is evidence for the effectiveness and acceptance of e-learning within the medical education community, especially when combined with traditional teacher-led activities in a blended-learning educational experience. Several digital repositories of e-learning materials exist, some with peer review, where instructors or developers can submit materials for widespread use or retrieve them for creating new materials. The evaluation of e-learning should include a peer-review process and an assessment of outcomes such as learner satisfaction, content usability, and demonstration of learning. Faculty skills in creating e-learning may differ from those needed for traditional teaching; faculty rewards for scholarly activity must recognize this difference and should be commensurate with effort. With technological advancement, the future offers the promise of high-fidelity, high-speed simulations and personalized instruction using both adaptive and collaborative learning. Centers of excellence in e-learning can provide national support for the design, development, implementation, evaluation, collaboration, and sharing of digital e-learning materials. The integration of e-learning into undergraduate, graduate, and continuing medical education will promote a shift toward adult learning in medical education, wherein educators no longer serve solely as distributors of content, but become facilitators of learning and assessors of competency.

## References

- 1Ozuah PO. Undergraduate medical education: thoughts on future challenges. BMC Med Educ. 2002;2:8-10.
- 2Nair BR, Finucane PM. Reforming medical education to enhance the management of chronic disease. Med J Aust. 2003;179:257-59.
- 3Leung WC. Competency based medical training: review. BMJ. 2002;325:693-96.

4Rosenberg M. E-Learning: Strategies for Delivering Knowledge in the Digital Age. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2001.

5Wentling T, Waight C, Gallaher J, La Fleur J, Wang C, Kanfer A. E-Learning: A Review of Literature 2000 (<http://learning.ncsa.uiuc.edu/papers/elearnlit.pdf>). Accessed 22 November 2005. University of Illinois National Center for Supercomputer Applications, Urbana-Champaign, IL, 2000.

6Moberg TF, Whitcomb ME. Educational technology to facilitate medical students' learning: background paper 2 of the medical school objectives project. Acad Med. 1999;74:1146-50.

7Ward JP, Gordon J, Field MJ, Lehmann HP. Communication and information technology in medical education. Lancet. 2001;357:792-96.

8Masie E. Blended learning: the magic is in the mix. In: Rossett A (ed). The ASTD E-Learning Handbook. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2002:58-63.

9Chu LF, Chan BK. Evolution of web site design: implications for medical education on the Internet. Comput Biol Med. 1998;28:459-72.

10Chodorow S. Educators must take the electronic revolution seriously. Acad Med. 1996;71:221-26.

11Gibbons A, Fairweather P. Computer-based instruction. In: Tobias S, Fletcher J (eds). Training & Retraining: A Handbook for Business, Industry, Government, and the Military. New York: Macmillan Reference USA, 2000:410-42.

12Clark D. Psychological myths in e-learning. Med Teach. 2002;24:598-604.

13Smith R. Guidelines for Authors of Learning Objects (<http://www.nmc.org/guidelines/NMC/20LO/20Guidelines.pdf>). Accessed 22 November 2005. The New Media Consortium, Austin, TX, 2004.

14Littlejohn A. Issues in reusing online resources. In: Littlejohn A (ed). Reusing Online Resources: A Sustainable Approach to eLearning. London: Creative Print and Design, 2003:1-6.

15Johnson CE, Hurtubise LC, Castrop J, et al. Learning management systems: technology to measure the medical knowledge competency of the ACGME. Med Educ. 2004;38:599-608.

16Phelps C, Michea YF. Learning management systems' evaluation focuses on technology not learning. AMIA AnnuSymp Proc. 2003:969.

17Fallon C, Brown S. E-learning Standards: A Guide to Purchasing and Deploying Standards-Conformant E-learning. Boca Raton: St Lucie Press, 2003.

18The MedBiquitous Consortium: Enabling Collaboration for Healthcare Education (<http://www.medbiq.org>). Accessed 22 February 2005. MedBiquitous, Baltimore, MD 2005.

19Bernard R, Abrami PL, Lou Y, Borokhovski E. How does distance education compare with classroom instruction? a meta-analysis of the empirical literature. Rev Educ Res. 2004;74:379-439.

20Letterie GS. Medical education as a science: the quality of evidence for computer-assisted instruction. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2003;188:849-53. 21Piemme TE. Computer-assisted learning and evaluation in medicine. JAMA. 1988;260:367-72.

22Chumley-Jones HS, Dobbie A, Alford CL. Web-based learning: sound educational method or hype? A review of the evaluation literature. Acad Med. 2002;77 (10 suppl):S86-S93.

23MedEdPortal (<http://www.aamc.org/meded/mededportal>). Accessed 22 November 2005. Association of American Medical Colleges, Washington, DC, 2005.

24Anderson R, Biernat K, Rehm J. A pathway to advancing end-of-life education. J Palliat Med. 2002;5:428-31.

25Candler CS, Uijtdehaage SH, Dennis SE. Introducing HEAL: the Health Education Assets Library. Acad Med. 2003;78:249-53.

26Malloy TE, Hanley GL. MERLOT: a faculty-focused Web site of educational resources. Behav Res Methods Instrum Comput. 2001;33:274-76.

27Harden RM, Hart IR. An international virtual medical school (IVIMEDS): the future for medical education? Med Teach. 2002;24:261-67.

28Anderson M. Bromwell, senior associate vice president, Division for Medical Education, Association of American Medical Colleges, Washington, DC. Personal communication, March 18, 2005.

29Kirkpatrick D. Evaluating Training Programs. 2nd ed. San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler, 1998.

30Barr H, Freeth D, Hammick M, Koppel I, Reeves S. Evaluating interprofessional education: a United Kingdom review of health and social care (<http://www.health.ltsn.ac.uk/publications/occasionalpaper/occasionalpaper02.pdf>). Accessed 22 November 2005. Centre for the Advancement of Interprofessional Education, London, UK 2002.

31Horton W. Evaluating E-learning. Alexandria, VA: American Society for Training and Development, 2001.

32Kern D, Thomas P, Howard D, Bass E. Curriculum Development for Medical Education Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1998.

33Reddy R, Wladawsky-Berger I (co-chairs). President's Information Technology Advisory Committee. Transforming Health Care through Information Technology. Arlington, VA: National Coordination Office for Information Technology Research & Development, 2001.

34Internet 2 Web page (<http://www.internet2.edu>). Accessed 22 November 2005. Internet 2 Consortium, Ann Arbor, MI, 2005.

35Walker R, Dieter M, Panko W, Valenta A. What it will take to create new Internet initiatives in health care. J Med Syst. 2003;27:95-103.

36Vincent DS, Berg BW, Hudson DA, Chitpatima ST. International medical education between Hawaii and Thailand over Internet2. J TelemedTelecare. 2003;9 (2 suppl):S71-S72.

37Wiecha J, Barrie N. Collaborative online learning: a new approach to distance CME. Acad Med. 2002;77:928-29.

38Wiecha JM, Gramling R, Joachim P, Vanderschmidt H. Collaborative e-learning using streaming video and asynchronous discussion boards to teach the cognitive foundation of medical interviewing: a case study. J Med Internet Res. 2003;5:e13.